

Free allowance allocation under the EU ETS

The Economics, Politics and Future of Subsidies Workshop,

Berlin, 12 November 2009

Jos Delbeke

Deputy Director General

DG Environment

European Commission



The EU Climate Change and Energy Package: Political context

- Overall objective: limit temperature increase to 2°C (3.6°F) above preindustrial level
- European Council March 2007: 20/20/20 by 2020
- Climate & Energy Package of legislation
 agreed in December 2008
- EU offer to Copenhagen: 30% emission reduction



Linear factor to be reviewed by 2025

>Aviation to be included; will change figures correspondingly, but cap not reduced

>Disclaimer: all figures are provisional and do not account for new sectors in third period



Harmonised Allocation Rules

- Fully harmonised allocation rules
- Auctioning is default allocation method
- Free allocation on basis of ambitious ex-ante benchmark for those sectors receiving free allocation

Climate Action Energy for a Changing World

Harmonised free allocation rules

- Community-wide harmonisation → No state aid risk, no distortion of competition
- Maximum determined by relative share of 2005-2007 industry's verified emissions
- Phasing out
 - On basis of ambitious ex-ante benchmarks
 - For sectors deemed not exposed to risk of carbon leakage: 80% in 2013 to 30% by 2020
 - For sectors deemed exposed to risk of carbon leakage: 100%
 - With a view to reaching full auctioning in 2027
- In the light of the outcome of the international negotiations, a report accompanied by any appropriate proposals (adjustment of level of free allocation, review of the carbon leakage list)

Benchmarks



Ambitious

- Average performance of 10% most efficient installations
- Taking account of the most efficient techniques, substitutes, alternative production processes, high efficiency cogeneration, efficient energy recovery of waste gases, use of biomass and capture and storage of CO2

Ex-ante

- Allocations fixed prior to the trading period to enable the market to function properly
- Benchmarks to be multiplied with historic production to get amount of free allowances



Why not ex-post benchmarking?

- Acts like an output subsidy
- Reduces the incentive for emission reductions
- Puts at serious risk the absolute cap
- Adds very substantial and continuous administrative burden, requiring competition sensitive data
- Creates significant uncertainty on the amount of free allocation for individual companies
- Makes the carbon market more volatile

YOU CONTROL CLIMATE CHANGE.

TURN DOWN. SWITCH OFF. RECYCLE. WALK. CHANGE