US utility-scale solar

An investor perspective

International workshop on The Challenge of Financing Low-Carbon Growth

Venice, Italy April 16, 2010





Today's discussion

- Sempra Energy overview
- California: a "policy-rich" investment environment
- California's Renewables Portfolio Standard
- RPS investment framework
- Observations and implications for low-carbon investment policy















Sempra asset overview







Copper Mountain Solar – 48 MW thin-film PV, under construction El Dorado Solar – 10 MW thin-film PV, operating El Dorado Energy – 480 MW CCGT, operating

California: a "policy-rich" investment environment

California has a long history of utility-policy progressivism

- Energy efficiency/demand-side management programs in place since the mid-1970s
- Rate decoupling since the early-1980s
- One of the first US states to deregulate its electric sector
 - Subsequently re-regulated after 2000-01 energy crisis
 - Currently a hybrid of "managed reserve margins" + wholesale competition
- Steeply progressive rate structure (AB1X)
- Renewable portfolio standard
 - 20% by 2010
 - 33% by 2020
- Utility procurement GHG emissions performance standard
- California Global Warming Solutions Act (AB32) imposes a statewide emissions cap
 - 1990 emissions by 2020
 - Includes electricity imports
- Smart meters currently being deployed across the entire IOU customer base
- Funding for pilot programs and studies: smart grid, electric vehicles & charging infrastructure, biogas-processing, utility-owned rooftop PV, batteries, CCS, etc.





California's Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS)

Background	Established in 2002 (SB 1078)				
Covered entities	 Investor-owned utilities (71%; top 3: 67%) Electric service providers (~7%) Community-choice aggregators (0% currently) 				
Target	20% by 201033% by 2020				
Eligible technologies	SolarWindSmall-scale hydro	BiomassBiogas/biofuelGeothermal	Ocean/tidalNon-combustion MSW		
Delivery points	Up to 25% outside California (but within WECC)				
Procurement process	 Competitive RFP process Price most important selection criterion; below avoided CCGT cost streamlines CPUC approval 				
Enforcement	 Utility penalty of 5¢/kWh, up to \$25 million per year Nominal developer performance bonds 				







Sempra Generation renewables investment framework

	 <u>Objective:</u> certainty of outcome, both near-and long-term Influenced by structure of California RPS market 		Site	 Insolation Private land Transmission access Topography/grading Minimal environmental & cultural sensitivity
	 Prices based on long- term contracts between buyer and seller, not "market fundamentals" "Oligopsony" – few buyers, many sellers – limits returns to "utility-type" Limited upside for technology risk 	Technology		 Maturity and reliability Supplier track record and financial strength Cost (current and projected) Water use Schedule (tax incentive deadlines)
			Commercial	 Fixed-price, turnkey EPC contract with long-term performance guarantees Long-term PPA with credit-worthy counterparty





Observations and implications for low-carbon investment policy

- Renewables policy ≠ GHG policy ≠ innovation policy
- Challenges of regulatorily-constructed markets
 - Regulatory uncertainty > market uncertainty (regulator term << asset life)
- CPUC's management of economic rents a model for other regions and sectors?
 - Minimizes customer impacts and wealth transfers, which helps mitigate regulatory risk
 - Well-suited for innovation?
- Influence of market structure on policy outcomes
 - US fragmented, privately-owned
 - Rest of world more concentrated, greater state involvement
- Extra-regional influence of regional regulators
- Mobilization of capital
 - Renewables 2x-12x more capital-intensive than fossil
 - Tenor- and risk appetite-matching
 - Tax-advantaged capital?



