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My Council is an
Independent, scientific and interdisciplinary Council
Consisting of 7 university professors and a scientific staff of 20 scientists,

The Council is established by the Federal German Government

As watchdog, with an early warning function and as science based judge in the political debate on environmental issues;
The German Advisory Council on the Environment

If we take the 2 degrees guardrail as starting point and fair distribution of per capita emissions we come to radical transformation needs;

Electricity Sector easiest to switch to low carbon sources; sector with long lead-times; early decisions necessary in order to avoid lock-in
Renewable Electricity as the least controversial and most sustainable option for decarbonisation

Starting point of our scenarios:

Other technological options for decarbonisation have serious shortcomings
At best: intermediate options

Only sustainable solution: Renewables

Therefore question: can they manage?
Methodology of DLR Scenarios for SRU: a backcasting approach

REMix-Europe
(Renewable Energy Mix for Sustainable Electricity Supply in Europe)

Inventory of Resources
GIS, C

Power Needs and Load
GIS, C

Linear Optimisation
GAMS (General Algebraic Modeling System)

Key point: adopt a backcasting approach;

Design an optimal REN Mix in 2050

3 Key Elements:
- GIS based Potential analysis for EUNA: identification of must suitable areas/
  Identification of excluded areas/ assumption on max. Use of remaining areas

- An hourly model of demand in 2050

- A linear optimisation model: aiming at most cost-effective combination of renewable sources and storage options for fluctuating demand
Key Assumption: Learning Cost Curves in the middle range of literature (Abbildung 4-27)

Key Component:
Learning cost curves:
- Cost for many ren reduce with market penetration as result of ECS and learning effects;

- Assumptions on learning cost curve in the middle range of estimates in literature, partly even conservative
A first result:

Low Cost Economic Potential in EUNA is high
## 100% renewable electricity
### 8 scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Demand Germany 2050: 500 TWh</th>
<th>Demand Germany 2050: 700 TWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-Sufficiency</td>
<td>Scenario 1.a DE-100 % SS-500</td>
<td>Scenario 1.b DE-100 % SS-700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net self-sufficiency including trade with DK/NO</td>
<td>Scenario 2.1.a DE-NO/DK-100 % SS-500</td>
<td>Scenario 2.1.b DE-NO/DK-100 % SS-700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max 15% Net import from DK/NO</td>
<td>Scenario 2.2.a DE-NO/DK-85 % SS-500</td>
<td>Scenario 2.2.b DE-NO/DK-85 % SS-700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max 15% Net import from EUNA</td>
<td>Scenario 3.a DE-EUNA-85 % SS-500</td>
<td>Scenario 3.b DE-EUNA-85 % SS-700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 Scenarios:

Logic: start with an extremely conservative and restrictive theoretical assumption:

Electrical Island Germany as theoretical reference than gradually open to European Market

Check both cost for an efficiency world and for a high demand world allowing for the electrification of other sectors
Interesting results:

Electricity Island Germany is technically feasible but expensive

High demand can be met but it is expensive

Major leap forward by Nordic cooperation:
Reduces considerably cost
and
Is less cost-elastic to high demand

A Nordic Scenario is slightly cheaper than the European Scenario: if all countries make use of the nordic storage potential some additional storage takes place on basis of more expensive technologies (CAES)
Overall cost for REN may be lower than for conventional power:

Simple reason: no fuel demand as fuel becomes more expensive over time, conventional power becomes more expensive

Whereas REN cost go down as consequence of learning cost curve

So early decision in REN future is investment for a low cost future.
also security of supply is ensured: at each hour fluctuation supply meets demand

As you see from scenario 2.1: key role plays norwegian pump storage capacity

See good preconditions:

Conventional hydropower: 84 TWH in Norway

Many cascade types of lakes easy conversion

Bottleneck is: grid and planning security

Little doubt: interesting business concept for Statkraft!
This gives you an impression of the GRID needs for the EUNA Scenario — only assuming for all EU countries the same trade-intensity as for Germany.
Modelled Transition for Germany:
Simple intrapolation - no economic optimisation:

Assumption: 35 years of economic life time of a power installation

Result: continue capacity growth rate of last decade for next decade

If Meseberg targets are assumed: less than 6 GW capacity increase annually. This can be managed;
A final remark to the compatibility of conventional power with renewables:

This is a 2020 situation:

What you see here is maximum nuclear flexibility (10GW will be) very frequently needed but quite often full nuclear capacity has to be run down:

If you stick to priority REN access frequent run downs of nuclear plants may eat up parts of the lifetime dividend and also may cause safety concerns;

An attractive solution for nuclear power might be to stop volatile renewables at peak supply hours

In any case there will be at least an economic problem from 2020 onwards and later certainly a technical problem to maintain coexistence between intermitting and conventional sources
6 Conclusions

- 100% renewable electricity is achievable by 2050, while ensuring security of supply at competitive cost
- Transitional higher cost compared to conventional system is investment in the least cost solution
- (Offshore) Wind energy will be the most important single contributor
- Pumpstorage capacities in Scandinavia will play a critical role in balancing supply and demand
- A transition without new coal and without longer nuclear lifetimes can be modelled
- High shares of conventional baseload power will conflict with intermittent renewable energy from 2020 onwards

That are some key conclusions of our scenarios!

In late autumn we will publish our special report, which then assesses economic instruments and policy approaches to steer the transformation within a European context.
Pumpstorage in Norway: Load Management needs are anticyclical to natural fluctuation of hydropower capacity

Max. Füllstand 84 TWh

Min. Füllstand 0 TWh
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